From Triangulation to Prediction:
A Five-Channel Framework for Forecasting Chinese Policy Outcomes

J. Ken Rhodes
Rhodes Research • jkr@rhodesresearch.org • rhodesresearch.org
Revised October 2026 · first published July 2026

Existing frameworks for analyzing China — including sophisticated institutional models such as debt-cycle approaches — can underperform when they treat GDP and official macro data as reliable inputs rather than as constructed outputs of a state-directed system. A dated, sourced evidence base for the yuan application and checkpoints that could lose the prediction are included. This paper proposes a five-channel triangulation framework that converts a static verification tool into a dynamic predictive model by adding three components: a signal hierarchy that accounts for lead-lag relationships between channels, a silence map that tracks suppression patterns as forward-looking indicators, and a contradiction index that measures the widening gap between official narrative and behavioral reality over time. The framework is applied to the yuan internationalization question, generating a probability-weighted prediction that is specific, falsifiable, and grounded in observable behavioral evidence rather than official data. The framework's limits and the conditions under which its predictions should lose confidence are stated explicitly.

I. The Input Problem

The dominant analytical failure in Western China analysis is not methodological sophistication. It is input quality. Established debt-cycle frameworks, which treat total debt-to-GDP, interest expense as a share of government revenue, and productivity growth as their primary indicators, are genuinely rigorous. A sound epistemic standard — when market reality diverges from model predictions, the first question should be "where is my model flawed?" rather than "the market is wrong" — is the correct one. The problem is that the primary input for China, GDP, is not an observation of economic reality. It is a construction of it.

China's state banking system extends credit to state-owned enterprises at terms no market would support. Those enterprises deploy that capital into fixed investment that registers as economic output regardless of whether it generates productive return. The debt gets rolled. The assets sit empty or underutilized. The GDP number moves. Alternative corroborating indicators — electricity consumption, freight volumes, satellite night-light data — appear to confirm the growth story but are not independent of it: they are downstream of the same credit-driven fixed investment that inflates the primary figure. The corroboration is circular.

The consequence is systematic: sophisticated models applied to compromised inputs produce not obviously wrong answers but confidently wrong ones, with the full credibility of rigorous methodology behind them. Such models tend to update after a problem becomes visible rather than detecting it before it manifests, which means the question "where is my model flawed?" has to be asked of the inputs before it is asked of the model.

A predictive framework that avoids this failure requires not better models but better inputs — and a principled method for extracting reliable signals from a system specifically designed to make its numbers look better than its reality. This paper proposes such a framework.

II. The Five-Channel Verification Foundation

The foundation of the predictive model is a five-channel triangulation architecture described in companion work (Rhodes, 2026b). The core principle: a phenomenon is real when at least three independent channels with different incentive structures, different political contexts, and different access levels converge on the same description. What cannot be easily coordinated is simultaneous convergence across channels that cannot communicate with each other undetected. The five channels are:

Channel	Sources	High Reliability Domains	Structural Bias
A — Mainland	Citizens, workers, students, small business owners	Daily life texture, social pressure, economic ground truth	Political risk suppresses candor on sensitive topics
B — Foreign Residents	Expats, foreign executives, long-term residents	Institutional friction, censorship atmosphere, workplace norms	Limited rural access; political trauma on exit biases negative
C — Diaspora	Overseas Chinese, emigrants, students abroad, dissidents	Political climate, elite fear signals, generational dynamics	Self-selects for those who had reasons to leave
D — Non-State Media	Caixin, Southern Weekly, Sixth Tone, Jiemian	Economic and legal reporting within censorship constraints	Self-censorship; omissions are signals, not neutral gaps
E — Business Signals	Supply chains, procurement, hiring, logistics, treasury desks	Economic reality: what companies actually do with capital	PR filtering; commercial incentives suppress negative commentary

The verification framework is necessary but not sufficient for prediction. A static snapshot of channel convergence tells the analyst what is real now. A predictive model requires knowing which channels move first, what suppression patterns signal about the future, and how to measure the growing distance between narrative and reality as a forward-looking indicator. Three additional components provide this.

III. Component One: The Signal Hierarchy

The five channels do not move simultaneously. Lead-lag relationships between channels are systematic and predictable, which means early-moving channels function as leading indicators and lagging channels function as confirmation signals. Understanding which channel leads for which domain is the first component of the predictive model. The lead times given below are working estimates drawn from the author's observation across cases, not statistically estimated values. They are the first part of the framework that should be tested against outcomes and revised.

Business Signals Lead Lived Experience by Six to Twelve Months

Channel E (business signals) typically moves before Channel A (mainland lived experience) by six to twelve months in economic deterioration cycles. Companies see hiring freezes, supply chain contractions, and investment pullbacks before workers feel them in daily consumption and social behavior. The multinational company that quietly reduces its China headcount in Q1 is a leading indicator for the mainland worker who notices reduced overtime in Q3. Tracking Channel E for nascent shifts that have not yet appeared in Channel A is the most reliable early warning mechanism the framework provides.

Diaspora Leads Non-State Media by Three to Six Months

Channel C (diaspora) tends to receive signals from family networks before those signals can be published in Channel D (non-state media). Diaspora communities hear what relatives whisper in private conversations; journalists working under censorship pressure cannot print what they know until conditions shift enough to permit it. When diaspora networks begin reporting a phenomenon with specificity and consistency — not general anxiety but specific, dateable observations — a Channel D confirmation signal typically follows within three to six months. Diaspora specificity is the key filter: vague fear is noise, specific behavioral observation is signal.

Behavioral Signals Lead Official Macro Data by Twelve to Twenty-Four Months

The most consequential lead-lag relationship in the framework is between behavioral signals (primarily Channel A consumption, savings, and education investment patterns) and official macro data. Households adjust behavior before statistics catch up, and in a system where statistics are actively managed, the lag can extend to two years or more. The simultaneous rise in Chinese household precautionary savings and decline in discretionary consumption was visible in Channel A behavioral observation years before it was acknowledged in official consumption statistics. A model tracking behavioral signals rather than reported data gains this lead time as a structural advantage.

The Signal Hierarchy Table

Domain	Leading Channel	Typical Lead Time	Confirming Channel
Economic deterioration	E — Business signals	6–12 months	A — Lived experience; D — Non-state media
Political tightening	C — Diaspora networks	3–6 months	B — Foreign residents; D — Non-state media
Social instability	A — Behavioral signals	12–24 months	E — Business signals; C — Diaspora
Policy shift	D — Omission patterns	1–6 months	B — Foreign residents; E — Business signals
Financial stress	E — Credit signals	6–18 months	D — Non-state media; A — Consumer behavior

IV. Component Two: The Silence Map

In a managed information environment, what is not said is more analytically valuable than what is said. The silence map systematically tracks suppression patterns across all five channels as

forward-looking indicators. The principle is straightforward: Beijing suppresses information it fears, and suppression patterns therefore reveal the Party's own assessment of its vulnerabilities before those vulnerabilities become visible to outside observers.

Types of Silence

Data series suppression is the most explicit form: when the National Bureau of Statistics stops publishing a data series or changes its methodology, the suppression itself is the signal. The decision to suspend publication of youth unemployment data in August 2023, after six consecutive monthly increases to a record 21.3 percent in June, is a primary example. The National Bureau of Statistics said the survey methodology needed to be improved and optimised. Publication resumed on January 17, 2024, on a revised basis that excludes students looking for work while still studying; the 16-to-24 rate was reported at 14.9 percent. The suspension and the narrower basis are documented facts; reading them as a signal is the author's inference. The signal is this: when a series is suspended and reissued on a narrower basis, the revision shows how the headline figure is managed, not how the underlying condition changed.

Topic migration describes the movement of subjects from non-state media to silence. When a topic that was reportable in Channel D — property sector distress, LGFV debt restructuring, demographic decline — gradually disappears from coverage without any apparent news hook, the absence marks a political decision rather than a news judgment. Topic migration typically precedes a policy intervention by one to six months: Beijing tends to suppress discussion of problems before announcing solutions, which means the silence itself forecasts policy action.

Figure suppression in Channel A is the behavioral analogue: when mainland Chinese stop discussing a topic in contexts where they previously discussed it freely, the behavioral caution change is a political thermometer. It does not require a formal suppression order to be detected. It requires pattern recognition across Channel A observations — what questions get deflected, what subjects change the energy in a room, what topics produce the conspicuous indirection that signals self-censorship rather than genuine disinterest.

Silence Map Construction

A working silence map tracks four parameters for each domain under analysis: (1) data series publication status and methodology changes; (2) topic frequency in Channel D over time; (3) behavioral caution indicators from Channel A; and (4) search term suppression patterns from Chinese platforms. The map is updated continuously and interpreted directionally: an expanding silence — more topics, more channels, larger suppression footprint — is a leading indicator of increasing internal stress on the suppressed subject. A contracting silence — topics returning to coverage, data series resuming — signals either genuine stabilization or a managed narrative shift that itself requires triangulation to interpret.

V. Component Three: The Contradiction Index

The contradiction index measures the distance between the official narrative layer and the behavioral reality layer over time. Unlike a static verification snapshot, the index is designed to track trajectory: whether the gap is widening or narrowing, and at what rate. A widening gap is a leading indicator of instability or forced policy change; a narrowing gap signals either genuine improvement or successful narrative management, which requires Channel E and Channel A verification to distinguish.

Constructing the Index

For a given domain, the contradiction index compares the official narrative signal with the behavioral reality signal on a common scale. The official narrative signal is derived from Channel D (what non-state media is permitted to report, which reflects official tolerance levels) and from direct government communications. The behavioral reality signal is derived from Channels A and E (what people are actually doing with their money, their labor, and their movement).

The gap between these two signals, measured at regular intervals, produces the index value. When official messaging insists that confidence is high while savings rates rise, consumption falls, youth unemployment climbs, and skilled workers emigrate — the index is wide and widening. When the behavioral signals move toward the official narrative — consumption rising, emigration slowing, business investment returning — the index is narrowing. Used in this paper, the index is a structured judgment about which way and how far the two layers have separated, not a computed series. Where both layers are measurable it can be measured directly. In the first half of 2026, for example, China's headline producer price index moved from −1.4 percent to +4.1 percent year on year while the consumer-goods component moved from −1.7 percent to −0.9 percent (National Bureau of Statistics price releases for January and June 2026), so the gap between the official headline and the household-facing price widened from 0.3 points in January to 5.0 points in June. Part of that headline rise followed commodity prices, so the gap illustrates how the index is read and does not establish its cause.

Index Interpretation

A wide and widening contradiction index signals three possible futures, which additional channel analysis is required to distinguish: (1) impending policy reversal, as the cost of maintaining the gap forces a change in direction; (2) escalating control, as the management system works harder to maintain the narrative against deteriorating behavioral reality; or (3) delayed recognition, as the system continues to generate confident analysis of a situation that the behavioral signals have already described accurately, until the behavioral reality crosses the threshold of undeniability.

VI. Proof of Concept: The Yuan Internationalization Prediction

The framework's predictive architecture is demonstrated here through application to the yuan internationalization question. The question is stated in binary probability form: What is the probability that China's yuan internationalization program builds durable alternative settlement infrastructure that meaningfully reduces dollar dependency over the five years to mid-2031, versus remaining primarily an optics and sanctions-evasion infrastructure story with structural dollar dependency intact?

Signal Hierarchy Applied

Channel E (business signals) is the leading channel for this question, since genuine yuan internationalization would require real commercial adoption by entities with no sanctions-evasion incentive to use it. The observable signal is straightforward: are multinational treasury departments and trade finance desks actually settling in yuan, or are they accepting yuan invoicing and immediately converting to dollars? Oil trade is the most visible test: Saudi Arabia is the most-cited case. In March 2022 the Wall Street Journal reported talks about pricing some Saudi sales to China in renminbi; Aramco's chief executive called the reports speculation, and an analyst at Capital Economics noted Saudi Arabia would still take dollars for about three-quarters of its oil trade.

Chinese-side commentary has since described Saudi leaders as willing to consider renminbi payment, but the author has found no official data confirming any renminbi-settled share of Saudi oil sales. The question that matters for any such arrangement is whether the receiving party holds the yuan or converts it. Yuan invoicing without yuan holding is a critical distinction the headline CIPS volume figures do not capture. The working reading from Channel E is that yuan is accepted as a settlement convenience in specific contexts but not held as a store of value by counterparties with alternatives. That reading rests on practitioner accounts, not on published conversion data, and it is the part of the argument most in need of independent confirmation.

Channel A (mainland lived experience) provides the most direct behavioral test of genuine yuan confidence: when Chinese citizens are permitted to hold foreign currency, do they prefer yuan or dollars? The author's Channel A reading is that mainland Chinese with optionality lean toward dollars and hard assets. That reading rests on observation and practitioner accounts, not on a dataset presented here. Capital flight series and the spread between offshore and onshore yuan are the measurable tests to run against it, and the thesis weakens if they do not support it.

Channel C (diaspora) is a secondary confidence signal: overseas Chinese communities with optionality appear, on practitioner and wealth-management accounts, to hold dollar-denominated assets rather than yuan-denominated ones. This is the author's reading, untested here, and the selection problem described in Section VII applies to it with particular force.

Silence Map Applied

The silence map for the yuan question points to one suppression pattern: the author has found no published breakdown of CIPS transactions by purpose (genuine trade settlement versus routing for sanctioned counterparties versus internal Chinese financial system transfers). The headline CIPS figures — including the single-day record of 1.22 trillion yuan (about US$178.5 billion) and nearly 42,000 transactions, reported in early April 2026 by Shanghai Securities News — aggregate all transaction types. A missing breakdown is a silence-map signal but a weak one: it may reflect data practice rather than concealment, and it cannot by itself show that genuine commercial adoption is small.

Additionally, China's capital account remains under state control — a structural silence that matters more than any CIPS milestone. On the author's reading, a currency whose internationalization depends on keeping capital controls in place cannot reach reserve-currency status. The author is aware of no published roadmap or timeline for opening the account, and that absence is the most important suppression signal in the yuan analysis.

Contradiction Index Applied

The yuan contradiction index compares the official narrative (CIPS growth, petroyuan milestones, e-CNY wallet expansion, diplomatic yuan agreements) with behavioral reality (dollar preference among Chinese with optionality, reported yuan-to-dollar conversion by trading partners, capital flight when permitted, controlled capital account). On the author's reading, the index is wide and has been widening since approximately 2022 — the period of maximum CIPS growth, which coincides with the period of maximum sanctions-evasion incentive following the Russian SWIFT exclusion. The headline narrative has been improving while the structural behavioral reality has remained unchanged. This is the characteristic pattern of performance rather than genuine adoption: the metrics that are easy to generate (transaction volume, wallet counts, diplomatic

agreements) are rising while the metrics that reflect genuine confidence (voluntary holding, capital account opening) have not clearly followed.

Evidence Base as of October 2026

Published data from official and primary sources point in both directions, and the prediction should be read against them. Supporting the reading that dollar dependency is intact: the dollar was on one side of 89.2 percent of foreign exchange trades in the Bank for International Settlements' Triennial Survey for April 2025 (preliminary release), up from 88.4 percent in 2022; the dollar's share of IMF-reported reserves was 56.70 percent in the second quarter of 2026; and in SWIFT's Global Currency Tracker (September 2026 edition) the dollar accounts for 59.87 percent of global payments and 52.09 percent of international payments excluding intra-eurozone payments, by value.

Against it, and on the same sources: the renminbi's share of foreign exchange turnover rose to 8.5 percent in the BIS survey; its share of IMF-reported reserves rose from 1.98 percent to 2.11 percent between the first and second quarters of 2026 while the dollar's fell from 57.18 percent to 56.70 percent; and the renminbi ranks fifth among international payments currencies in SWIFT's tracker at 3.26 percent (2.38 percent and sixth on the global measure). On the global measure the renminbi is well below its peak of 4.7 percent in July 2024, as reported by the Peterson Institute for International Economics. CIPS volumes roughly doubled between mid-2022 and mid-2024, stagnated, and have since reached new highs, with the Reserve Bank of Australia noting that growth picked up after the onset of the Middle East conflict.

Trade settlement is where the renminbi is strongest. About 28 percent of China's goods trade was settled in renminbi in the first half of 2025 according to official data cited by the Peterson Institute, and the Reserve Bank of Australia puts the renminbi-denominated share of Chinese trade at about 35 percent. The renminbi overtook the dollar in 2023 as the most common currency in cross-border transactions to or from China, according to State Administration of Foreign Exchange data cited by the Reserve Bank. The prediction does not dispute any of this. It concerns whether invoicing converts into holding by counterparties with alternatives, and the reserve share is the nearest published test: it was 2.8 percent in 2022, fell below 2 percent, and was 2.11 percent in the second quarter of 2026. On that measure the direction since the low is up, not down, and the 75–80 percent estimate rests on the structural argument about capital controls rather than on the recent trend.

The alternative hypothesis deserves stating at full strength: the renminbi is internationalizing gradually and conventionally, through trade invoicing first and reserve holding later, as the dollar's own history did. That account predicts a rising reserve share, rising SWIFT shares outside sanctioned flows, and growing central-bank holdings. The December 31, 2027 test described under The Prediction is designed to separate the two accounts.

The Prediction

> *Five-channel convergence across the signal hierarchy, silence map, and contradiction index produces the following probability-weighted assessment: There is approximately a 75–80 percent probability that yuan internationalization remains primarily an optics and sanctions-evasion infrastructure story over the five years to mid-2031 rather than a genuine reserve currency transition, with structural dollar dependency intact. The key falsifying condition is voluntary yuan adoption by countries with no sanctions-evasion incentive — specifically, non-sanctioned G20 economies voluntarily holding yuan-*

denominated reserves at the expense of dollar reserves. Current Channel E and Channel A signals do not support this condition being met. Capital account closure remains the binding structural constraint; without it, genuine reserve currency status is impossible and the probability distribution described above should not change regardless of CIPS volume milestones. The Forecasting Record at rhodesresearch.org registers a nearer, scored test of the same thesis, resolving December 31, 2027. The thesis is confirmed if China's capital account stays restricted and the renminbi's share in SWIFT's international-payments measure (excluding intra-eurozone payments) stays within 2.7 to 3.5 percent through December 2027 without a clear upward trend. It is disconfirmed if the capital account opens on a credible published schedule, if the renminbi gains reserve-currency demand outside sanctioned-economy settlement, or if that SWIFT share rises sustainably above 5 percent with a clear upward trend. The reading at the time of revision is 3.26 percent in SWIFT's September 2026 edition (3.10 percent for June 2026), inside the confirming range. SWIFT also publishes a global-payments measure, on which the renminbi stood at 2.38 percent; the test is specified on the international measure, and the 2.7 to 3.5 percent range does not apply to the global measure. The 75–80 percent probability is the author's estimate, not a calculated value.

The 20–25 percent probability assigned to genuine progress reflects three scenarios that could materially change the prediction: a significant US sanctions overreach that recruits a major non-sanctioned economy to yuan settlement, a deliberate and sustained Chinese fiscal policy shift toward household consumption that reduces the structural need for capital account closure, or a dollar credibility crisis severe enough to make even an illiquid alternative preferable to a depreciating reserve currency.

VII. The Strongest Counterargument

The framework's most serious challenge is not that it might be wrong about yuan internationalization — any probabilistic framework can be wrong. The deeper challenge is that the five-channel triangulation method is structurally biased toward negative conclusions about China, because the channels available to outside analysts disproportionately capture the perspective of people who have left China, people with foreign business exposure, and media operating in tension with the state. A framework built on these channels will systematically find what its channels are positioned to find.

This counterargument has specific force. Channel C (diaspora) self-selects for people who left — a population that is not representative of the 1.4 billion who stayed, and whose financial behavior (converting to dollars on exit) reflects the decision to leave rather than an ongoing revealed preference of the general population. Channel B (foreign residents) captures the experience of a small, economically distinctive slice of China's urban population. Channel E (business signals) reflects the perspective of multinationals and their supply chains, which have structural reasons to be risk-averse about China that independent of underlying conditions. The triangulation principle — convergence across independent channels — may be generating false confidence if the channels share a common selection bias rather than being genuinely independent.

The framework's response operates at two levels.

At the structural level: the selection bias concern is real and the framework does not deny it. The appropriate response is to calibrate confidence claims to the channels' actual coverage. The framework does not claim to represent the median Chinese citizen's experience. It claims to

represent the behavior of people and institutions with economic optionality — the group whose revealed preferences are most diagnostic for questions about capital flows, currency confidence, and genuine versus performed adoption. For the yuan question specifically, the population that matters is precisely the population with optionality: if people and institutions that could hold yuan choose not to, that is the definitive signal regardless of what the median Chinese citizen without optionality would prefer.

At the empirical level: the framework's conclusions on yuan internationalization can be checked against sources that are not subject to the selection bias. BIS, IMF and SWIFT data (Section VI) are not produced by diaspora, expatriate or business-intelligence channels. They support part of the pattern — dollar dominance in foreign exchange turnover, reserves and payments — and cut against another part: the renminbi's turnover and reserve shares have risen recently, and invoicing is rising. They do not settle whether holding follows invoicing. The selection bias concern is a reason for methodological humility, not a refutation of the specific conclusions.

The honest limit of the counterargument response is this: the framework cannot fully verify its own channel independence. If a systematic bias is operating across all five channels simultaneously and in the same direction, the triangulation method will not detect it — convergence produced by shared bias is indistinguishable from convergence produced by genuine signal. This is an unresolved structural limitation. The falsification conditions in the following section are the framework's practical response: they specify what observable evidence would force revision regardless of what the channels currently indicate.

VIII. Framework Limits and Falsification Conditions

A predictive framework that does not specify its own failure conditions is not a predictive framework. It is advocacy with a methodological veneer. The following conditions would require the framework's predictions to be revised or the framework itself to be updated.

The framework loses confidence when channel coordination becomes possible. The triangulation principle depends on the five channels being unable to coordinate their signals simultaneously in the same direction. If a coordinated information operation were to successfully seed consistent false signals across diaspora networks, foreign resident communities, and business intelligence simultaneously, the framework's convergence test would fail. This is theoretically possible but operationally difficult at scale — it is the framework's primary structural vulnerability, acknowledged directly in the steelman above.

The framework loses confidence on domains that are structurally opaque to all five channels simultaneously. CCP elite factional politics, internal military readiness, and true macro data are domains where all five channels face access constraints that cannot be overcome by triangulation. On these domains the framework produces uncertainty estimates rather than probability-weighted predictions, and the honest output is a range of scenarios with no dominant probability rather than a specific forecast.

The yuan prediction specifically loses confidence if capital account opening begins on a credible, scheduled timeline. That single structural change would invalidate the framework's primary constraint argument and require a full reassessment of the prediction. Partial measures — expanded CIPS access, additional swap line agreements, e-CNY interest payments — do not

constitute the falsifying condition. The condition is capital account opening with a publicly committed timeline, because that is the only change that removes the structural barrier to genuine reserve currency adoption.

IX. Conclusion

The analytical failure this paper addresses is not an aberration. It is the predictable output of a sophisticated model applied to compromised inputs in a system designed to make its numbers look better than its reality. The framework proposed here does not resolve this problem by finding better numbers. It resolves it by largely abandoning numbers as the primary input and substituting behavioral signals, suppression patterns, and convergence across channels that cannot be coordinated in the same direction.

The result is a less precise but more accurate predictive architecture. The five-channel triangulation verification tool, combined with the signal hierarchy, the silence map, and the contradiction index, produces probability-weighted forecasts that are grounded in observable reality rather than constructed statistics. Those forecasts are falsifiable — the conditions under which they should lose confidence are specified rather than left implicit. And they are updatable in real time as channel signals move, rather than requiring a crisis to become visible before the model revises.

The proof of concept application to the yuan internationalization question demonstrates the framework's core output: not a confident point forecast but a probability distribution with specified falsification conditions, grounded in behavioral evidence that the official data layer is structurally incapable of providing. That is the standard the analysis of China requires. It is also a standard that serious analysis should require of itself.

The dated, scoreable predictions that follow from the framework, and their results as they resolve, are published in the Forecasting Record at rhodesresearch.org.

* * *

References

Guriev, S., & Treisman, D. (2022). Spin Dictators: The Changing Face of Tyranny in the 21st Century. Princeton University Press.

Bank for International Settlements. (2025). Triennial Central Bank Survey: OTC foreign exchange turnover in April 2025 (preliminary). bis.org/statistics/rpfx25_fx.htm.

International Monetary Fund. (2026). Currency Composition of Official Foreign Exchange Reserves (COFER), 2026Q2. data.imf.org.

National Bureau of Statistics of China. (2026). Industrial Producer Price Indexes in January 2026 (February 12, 2026); and June 2026 CPI and PPI release, as reported by Shanghai Metals Market.

Peterson Institute for International Economics. (2026). Has the Chinese yuan's rise in global usage hit a wall? Realtime Economics.

Reserve Bank of Australia. (2026, August). Developments in RMB internationalisation. Bulletin.

South China Morning Post. (2026, April 9). China's yuan settlements hit record, and the Iran conflict is looking like a catalyst.

SWIFT. (2026, September). Global Currency Tracker. swift.com.

Times Higher Education. (2023, August 27). China pauses youth unemployment updates; and AsiaNews. (2024, January 17). Youth unemployment drops in China by removing working students from data.

Arab News. (2022, March 20). Aramco CEO says news on Saudi oil sale in Yuan is speculation as Capital Economics rules it out.

Rhodes, J. K. (2026a). The Good, the Bad and the Ugly: Dollar Dominance, Institutional Failure, and the Architecture of De-Dollarization. Rhodes Research Working Paper. rhodesresearch.org.

Rhodes, J. K. (2026b). Reality Leaves Footprints: How to Understand China When Every Traditional Source Is Compromised. Rhodes Research Working Paper. rhodesresearch.org.

Rhodes, J. K. (2026c). The Company Store: Why the RMB Is Built for Lock-In, Not Reserve Status. Rhodes Research Working Paper. rhodesresearch.org.

Rhodes, J. K. (2026d). The Efficiency Trap: How the World Drifted Into Chinese Dependency. Rhodes Research Working Paper. rhodesresearch.org.

* * *

About the Author

J. Ken Rhodes holds a Master's degree in Economics and spent his career in private equity, capital markets, and financial regulation, including service as Chief of Securities for the State of Tennessee and membership on the Regulation D drafting committee. Contact: jkr@rhodesresearch.org | rhodesresearch.org